

Employee Work-Life Management

Diego Vinas

Old Dominion University

The 2020 Pandemic caused a rapid shift in work life communication, along with a new implementation of remote work throughout many businesses. As Vahdat (2022) illustrates, human resource departments increasingly relied on digital information technologies to maintain operational efficiency while attempting to preserve employee well-being during this displacement. However, this new system reliance on digital tools inadvertently cultivated an implicit "always-on" expectation, systematically eroding the traditional work space boundaries between professional and personal domains (Vahdat, 2022). Understanding this macro-level shift provides the necessary structure to analyze why traditional boundaries collapsed in the first place. It moves the discourse beyond the initial implementation of IT tools, setting the stage for a critical examination of the micro-level privacy negotiations.

The most immediate consequence of this structural reliance on digital infrastructure is the profound psychological friction introduced into the daily lives of remote workers. Takami (2023) critically examines this impact, noting that the blending of professional and private domains leads to severe digital exhaustion and negatively affects long-term mental health. This academic perspective lends empirical weight to the practical, everyday burnout concerns currently dominating industry discourse. For instance, most of my research highlights flexible work policies and workloads that are designed for employee benefit, not for the company's benefit. Without this movement it constructs an environment where workers struggle to psychologically disconnect from their professional obligations (Behera, n.d.; Guzman, 2023). Consequently, establishing a functional work-life balance has become a priority within organizational concerns,

requiring a complete redefinition of productivity that prioritizes cognitive recovery over continuous availability.

For businesses to avoid this physiological issue and actively maintain well-being, both employees and students that are finding jobs, are to push for an increase in management that focuses on a work/personal life balance. In the absence of the physical separation traditionally provided by the office, proactive boundary communication is essential to maintain both individual efficiency and effective leadership (Guzman, 2023). Communication Privacy Management (CPM) theory provides a vital theoretical framework for understanding how individuals defend their personal domains against these external digital intrusions. Workplace experts emphasize that explicitly communicating these boundaries to management is a necessary tactic to push back against always-available expectations (Guzman, 2023). Ultimately, work-life and personal life balance became a businesses top priority post-pandemic. Furthermore if a business wanted to perform at its highest level than prepandemic, it would then correlate to translating dense theoretical concepts of privacy into actionable workplace strategies (Behera, 2024.; Guzman, 2023).

While individual, micro-level communication serves as a critical daily defense strategy, macro-level policy perspectives argue that communicative boundaries must be formally institutionalized rather than left to necessary, individual negotiations. Horielova et al. (2026) helps me have an insight on the broader legal framework that contextualizes this necessity. It leads me to investigating how current legal structures consistently struggle to keep pace with technological intrusions into private life. This policy-oriented perspective contrasts with psychologically focused studies by providing a structural foundation for advocating formal organizational protections against boundary collapse (Horielova et al., 2026). Focusing on these

opposing systemic and individual viewpoints, Lezcano et al. (2026) demonstrate that remote work exerts competing effects through an "autonomy pathway," which boosts well-being, and an "isolation pathway," which can weaken social connection that was once a daily activity. Moving the discourse past the initial pandemic-era technological scrambling, this data gathered through experiences supports optimistic boundary-setting strategies, proving that legally and structurally defined policies can lead to sustainable employee retention (Lezcano et al., 2026).

Moving from these synthesized structure, psychological, and legal findings, several critical observations and recommendations emerge regarding the future trajectory of remote work policy. The literature review strongly indicates that individual boundary-setting strategies are insufficient on their own to best go against systemic "always-on" cultures; employees should not have to face the burden of negotiating their right to personal time against deeply established organizational norms. Consequently, a critical policy shift is recommended across modern knowledge-work industries: the formal adoption and standardization of a corporate "Right to Disconnect." To effectively implement this, organizations must move beyond vague flexibility perks and establish hard digital boundaries, such as server-level email delays after business hours and explicit behavioral modeling from executive leadership. Furthermore, future academic research should expand upon Communication Privacy Management theory by longitudinally tracking the effectiveness of these institutionalized policies. Specifically, scholars must determine whether strictly enforced communication cut-offs inadvertently amplify the "isolation pathway" identified by Lezcano et al. (2026), or if they successfully preserve employee well-being without compromising necessary social connectivity. Finally, any implemented boundary-setting policies must be designed with inclusivity at the forefront, ensuring they accommodate neurodivergent employees or caretakers who rely on non-traditional hours, thereby

protecting the right to disconnect without penalizing those who necessitate asynchronous schedules.

References

Vahdat, S. (2022). *The role of IT-based technologies on the management of human resources in the COVID-19 era. Kybernetes, 51(6), 2065–2088.*

<https://www-emerald-com.proxy.lib.odu.edu/k/article/51/6/2065/511611/The-role-of-IT-based-technologies-on-the>

Lezcano, A. M., Zajac, S. A., Johnson, S. K., & Holladay, C. L. (2026). Rethinking return-to-office: The positive impact of remote work on well-being, connection, and employee retention. *Frontiers in Psychology, 17*, Article 1848857.

<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1848857/full>

Behera, M. (2024). *Importance of work-life balance in post-pandemic workplace.* LinkedIn.

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/importance-work-life-balance-post-pandemic-workplace-mrinal-behera-2lcae>

Takami, T. (2023). *Remote work and well-being in the post-COVID-19 era. Industrial Health, 61(3), 145–147.* https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/indhealth/61/3/61_Editorial/_article

Guzman, K. (2023, February 17). Pursuing work-life balance in a post-pandemic world. *Yale School of Management.*

<https://som.yale.edu/story/2023/pursuing-work-life-balance-post-pandemic-world>

Horielova, V., Derevianko, O., Yanushevskyi, O., & Lysak, M. (2026). Balancing privacy and public interest: Ethical and legal aspects. Telecommunications Policy, 50(8), Article S0308596126001163.

<https://www-sciencedirect-com.proxy.lib.odu.edu/science/article/abs/pii/S0308596126001163>